

# Math 210C Lecture 14 Notes

Daniel Raban

May 1, 2019

## 1 Long Exact Sequences of Homology and Chain Homotopy

This lecture was given by Jeremy Brightbill.

### 1.1 Long exact sequences of homology

If  $X$  is a topological space, we can associate to it the chain complex  $C(X) = \text{span}_K\{\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow X\}$ . We are trying to capture “homological information,” information about whether  $X$  is built out of glued together simpler parts. We often get enough information for what we’re interested in by looking at the homology  $H_n(X)$ .

Last time, we showed that homology is a functor: if we have a map  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , this induces a homomorphism  $(f_n)_* : H_n(A) \rightarrow H_n(B)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \longrightarrow 0$$

*be a short exact sequence of chain complexes. Then there exist maps  $\delta_i : H_i(Z) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(X)$  such that the sequence*

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_i(X) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_i(Y) \xrightarrow{g_*} H_i(Z) \xrightarrow{\delta_i} H_{i-1}(X) \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} H_{i-1}(Y) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

*is exact.*

*Proof.* We have the diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow X_i \xrightarrow{f_i} Y_i \xrightarrow{g_i} Z_i \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow X_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} Y_{i-1} \xrightarrow{g_{i-1}} Z_{i-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

Using the snake lemma, we get exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(d_{X_i}) \longrightarrow \ker(d_{Y_i}) \longrightarrow \ker(d_{Z_i})$$

$$\text{coker}(d_{X_i}) \longrightarrow \text{coker}(d_{Y_i}) \longrightarrow \text{coker}(d_{Z_i}) \longrightarrow 0$$

So we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{coker}(d_{X_{i+2}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(d_{Y_{i+2}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(d_{Z_{i+2}}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \bar{d}_{X_{i+1}} & & \downarrow \bar{d}_{Y_{i+1}} & & \downarrow \bar{d}_{Z_{i+1}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(d_{X_i}) & \longrightarrow & \ker(d_{Y_i}) & \longrightarrow & \ker(d_{Z_i}) \end{array}$$

Using the snake lemma again, we get an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \ker(\bar{d}_{X_{i+1}}) & \longrightarrow & \ker(\bar{d}_{Y_{i+1}}) & \longrightarrow & \ker(\bar{d}_{Z_{i+1}}) \\ & & & \swarrow & \\ \text{coker}(\bar{d}_{X_{i+1}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\bar{d}_{Y_{i+1}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\bar{d}_{Z_{i+1}}) \end{array}$$

Now observe that  $\ker(\bar{d}_{X_{i+1}}) = H_{i+1}(X)$ ,  $\ker(\bar{d}_{Y_{i+1}}) = H_{i+1}(Y)$ , and  $\ker(\bar{d}_{Z_{i+1}}) = H_{i+1}(Z)$ ,  $\text{coker}(\bar{d}_{X_{i+1}}) = H_i(X)$ ,  $\text{coker}(\bar{d}_{Y_{i+1}}) = H_i(Y)$ , and  $\text{coker}(\bar{d}_{Z_{i+1}}) = H_i(Z)$ .  $\square$

**Example 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a complex. Define

$$\tau_{\geq 0}X = \cdots \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow \ker(d_{X_0}) \longrightarrow 0$$

We get the morphism of complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \tau_{\geq 0}X : & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & \ker(d_{X_0}) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ X : & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \longrightarrow & X_{-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ \tau_{< 0}X : & \cdots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{im}(d_{X_0}) & \longrightarrow & X_{-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

where  $\tau_{< 0}X = X/\tau_{\geq 0}X$ . Then  $H_i(\tau_{< 0}X) = H_i(X)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ , and  $H_i(\tau_{\geq 0}X) = 0$  for all  $i < 0$ . We have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_1(\tau_{\geq 0}X) & \longrightarrow & H_1(X) & \longrightarrow & \underbrace{H_1(\tau_{< 0}X)}_{=0} \\ & & & & & \swarrow & \\ & & H_0(\tau_{\geq 0}X) & \longrightarrow & H_0(X) & \longrightarrow & \underbrace{H_0(\tau_{< 0}X)}_{=0} \\ & & & & & \swarrow & \\ & & \underbrace{H_{-1}(\tau_{\geq 0}X)}_{=0} & \longrightarrow & H_{-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{-1}(\tau_{< 0}X) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

**Example 1.2.** Call

$$X^{\geq 0} = \dots \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

Then we get a morphism of complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X^{<0} : & \dots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & X_{-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ X : & \dots & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \longrightarrow & X_{-1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ X^{\geq 0} : & \dots & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

We get

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underbrace{H_1(X^{<0})}_{=0} & \longrightarrow & H_1(X) & \longrightarrow & H_1(X^{\geq 0}) \\ & & & \swarrow & \\ \underbrace{H_0(X^{<0})}_{=0} & \longrightarrow & H_0(X) & \longrightarrow & H_0(X^{\geq 0}) \\ & & & \swarrow & \\ H_{-1}(X^{<0}) & \longrightarrow & H_{-1}(X) & \longrightarrow & \underbrace{H_{-1}(X^{\geq 0})}_{=0} \end{array}$$

## 1.2 Chain homotopy

Nobody works in the category of chain complexes for very long. It's too hard for chain complexes to be isomorphic.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  be morphisms of complexes. Write  $f \sim g$  if there exist  $h_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$  such that  $f - g = d_{Y_{i+1}} h_i + h_{i-1} d_{X_i}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & X_i & \longrightarrow & X_{i-1} \\ f_{i+1} \downarrow g_{i+1} & \swarrow h_i & f_i \downarrow g_i & \swarrow h_{i-1} & f_{i-1} \downarrow g_{i-1} \\ Y_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & Y_i & \longrightarrow & Y_{i-1} \end{array}$$

We say that  $f$  is **chain homotopic** to  $g$

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces with  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$  homotopic, then  $f_* \sim g_*$  are homotopic, where  $f_*, g_* : C.(X) \rightarrow C.(Y)$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** Chain homotopy has the following properties:

1. Chain homotopy is an equivalence relation on  $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ .
2. Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $a : W \rightarrow X$ , and  $b : Y \rightarrow Z$ . If  $f \sim g$ , then  $f \circ a \sim g \circ a$  and  $b \circ f \sim b \circ g$ .
3. If  $f \sim g$  and  $f' \sim g'$ , then  $f + g \sim f' + g'$ , and  $-f \sim -g$ .

**Definition 1.2.** The **homotopy category of complexes**  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{A})$  has objects to chain complexes and  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ho}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y) / \{f \sim g\}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** We say that  $f$  is **null-homotopic** if  $f \sim 0$ .

**Definition 1.4.**  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : Y \rightarrow X$  are **homotopy equivalences** if  $1_X \sim gf$  and  $1_Y \sim fg$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $f \sim g : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then  $f_{i*} = g_{i*} : X_i(X) \rightarrow H_i(Y)$ .

*Proof.*  $(f - g)_* = f_* - g_*$ , so it suffices to prove this for  $f \sim 0$ . Then  $d = dh + hd = 0$  on  $H_i(X)$ .  $\square$

**Example 1.3.** In the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{id} & \swarrow \text{id} & \downarrow \text{id} & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & X & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

$\text{id}_X \sim 0$ . So  $X \cong 0$  in the homotopy category.

**Example 1.4.** In the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

$\text{id}_X$  is not homotopic to 0.